



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/682,876	10/26/2001	Mark Duchow	670715-90029	1765

7590 10/08/2003

MICHAEL K. LINDSEY
HOWREY SIMON ARNOLD WHITE, LLP
312 N. CLARK, SUITR 800
CHICAGO,, IL 60610

EXAMINER

MYHRE, JAMES W

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3622

DATE MAILED: 10/08/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary	Application No. 09/682,876	Applicant(s) Duchow
	Examiner James W. Myhre	Art Unit 3622

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) James W. Myhre

(3) Anthony Nimmo

(2) Michael K. Lindsey

(4) _____

Date of Interview Oct 2, 2003

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy is given to 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: 47

Identification of prior art discussed:

Scroggie et al (6,185,541) and Cupps et al (5,991,739)

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Applicant Representatives discussed how the cited references did not disclose all of the limitations in the proposed claim, specifically the selection of a specific retailer without further input by the buyer. The feature of the retailer having a producer-assigned territory AND being the closest to the buyer was discussed, and agreement was reached that the second part would be removed from the claim before filing the official amendment. The Examiner noted that he would review the references and specification again and telephone the Applicant Representative within the next day or so about any feature or limitation that may place the application in condition for allowance.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

JAMES W. MYHRE
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 3622

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's Signature, if required